- 1. John Marshall should have recused himself in Marbury v. Madison.
- 2. John Marshall should not have recused himself in Marbury v. Madison.
- 3. The United States Supreme Court has become too powerful.
- 4. The United States Supreme Court has not become too powerful.
- 5. The best way to select judges is through appointment with confirmation.
- 6. The best way to select judges is through partisan election.
- 7. The best way to select judges is through a merit system.
- 8. Once in office a judge should have life tenure.
- 9. Once in office a judge should have one term of 15 years.
- 10. Once in office a judge should be subjected to periodic unopposed retention elections.
- 11. Once in office a judge should be subjected to partisan re-election.
- 12. A judge should inform a jury of its power to nullify.
- 13. A judge should not inform a jury of its power to nullify.
- 14. A jury should be the decision maker in all family law matters.
- 15. A judge should be the decision maker in all family law matters.
- 16. A jury should be death penalty qualified in cases where the death penalty is an option.
- 17. A jury should not be death penalty qualified in cases where the death penalty is an option.
- 18. Tort reform undertaken during the last two decades was needed and more reform should continue.
- 19. Tort reform undertaken during the last two decades was not needed and more reform should not continue.
- 20. Supreme Court Justices should negotiate and pressure each other to derive the Court's decision.

- 21. Supreme Court Justices should not negotiate nor pressure each other to derive the Court's decision.
- 22. The United States Constitution should be interpreted by focusing on the text.
- 23. The United States Constitution should be interpreted by focusing on the intent of the framers. (This is called original intent in your text.)
- 24. The United States Constitution should be interpreted by focusing on the purpose behind the provision.
- 25. The United States Constitution should be interpreted by using originalism. (This is not the original intent described in your text.)
- 26. The United States Constitution should be interpreted using dynamic interpretation.
- 27. The United States Constitution should be interpreted using a cost/benefit approach.
- 28. The United States Constitution should be interpreted using interest balancing.
- 29. Courts should be able to use scholarly and historical articles that are introduced for the first time on appeal.
- 30. Courts should not be able to use scholarly or historical articles that are introduced for the first time on appeal.
- 31. Appellate courts should allow and consider amicus briefs.
- 32. Appellate courts should not allow nor consider amicus briefs.
- 33. Diversity should be considered in the selection of judges.
- 34. Diversity should not be considered in the selection of judges.
- 35. Judges and judicial nominees should be allowed to publicly discuss prior decisions of the court.
- 36. Judges and judicial nominees should not be allowed to publicly discuss prior decisions of the court.
- 37. Every judge should be required to have a license to practice law.
- 38. A license to practice law should not be a requirement for a person to become a judge.

- 39. Before someone can become a judge, a person should be required to get an LL.M. related to the study of judicial theory and process.
- 40. A person should not be required to get an LL.M. (related to the study of judicial theory and process) before becoming a judge.
- 41) The Supreme Court should look at foreign customs and foreign court decisions as a guide to their decisions.
- 42) The Supreme Court should not look at foreign customs nor foreign court decisions as a guide to their decisions.
- 43) A centralized court system, with one court that settles constitutional issues first is preferable to the US system in which all courts decide constitutional issues, with a possible final review by the top court.
- 44) A decentralized court system, such as the United States' system, in which all courts decide constitutional issues is preferable to a centralized court system with one court that decides constitutional issues